## Increased speech representation in older adults originates from early and late responses in auditory cortex

Christian Brodbeck, Alessandro Presacco, Stefanie Kuchinsky, Samira Anderson & Jonathan Z. Simon



# Overview

### Puzzle

- Compared to young adults, older adults exhibit:
  - Impaired auditory temporal processing
  - More difficulty comprehending speech, especially in challenging circumstances
- Yet, the speech envelope can be reconstructed more accurately from their cortical responses, recorded with MEG (Presacco et al., 2016)

### Different possible explanations, for example...

- Increased cortical gain of bottom-up responses
- Recruitment of additional top-down resources
- Physiological changes, e.g. excitation-inhibition imbalance

### This talk

- Localize cortical responses to speech of younger and older adults
  - Anatomy: localization in cortex
  - Time: latency at which information is represented

Brodbeck, C., Presacco, A., Anderson, S., & Simon, J. Z. (2018). Over-Representation of Speech in Older Adults Originates from Early Response in Higher Order Auditory Cortex. Acta Acustica United with Acustica, 104(5), 774–777.

# MagnetoEncephaloGraphy (MEG)



# Methods (Presacco et al.)

### Design

- 60 s long audiobook excerpts, 3 repetitions each
- 2 excerpts were clean speech
- 8 excerpts with second speaker at different signal to noise ratios (SNRs; +3, 0, -3, -6 dB)

### Participants

- 17 young adults (aged 18-27 years)
- 15 older adults (aged 61-73 years)
  - Cognitive screening
  - Clinically normal audiogram -

### MEG data

- KIT MEG Lab at University of Maryland, 157 axial gradiometers
- Band pass filter **1-8 Hz**



# Methods (Presacco et al.)





5

(Presacco, Simon, & Anderson, 2016)

# Results (Presacco et al.)



### **Cortex: older > younger**

(Presacco, Simon, & Anderson, 2016)

# Midbrain (Presacco et al.)

### Midbrain

- Older listeners have reduced frequency following response (FFR)
- Increased cortical responses not due to stronger input from midbrain



### Midbrain: younger > older

# Possible explanations

#### Increased cortical gain for bottom-up responses

Prediction: same origin, more current

### **Top-down/strategic processing**

- Compensate for degraded input from the periphery
- Recruitment of additional frontal and temporal regions for complex sentences (Peelle et al., 2010)
- Prediction:
  - Response enhancement at longer latencies, e.g., 100-200 ms

### Low level physiological change: excitation/inhibition imbalance

- Reduction in inhibitory neurons in A1 (de Villers-Sidani et al., 2010)
- Increased firing rates in A1 (Overton & Recanzone, 2016)
- Faster recruitment of higher order regions (Engle & Recanzone, 2013)
- Prediction:
  - Enhanced low latency responses, e.g., 30 ms
  - Potentially involving higher order regions

# Methods

#### Participants

- 17 young adults (aged 18-27 years)
- > 23 older adults (aged 61-73 years)

#### **MEG** source localization

- Empty room noise covariance
- Minimum norm estimates with depth weighting
- Temporal response functions estimated with coordinate descent algorithm (David et al., 2007)
  - Minimizing  $\ell 1$  error
  - Stopping based on cross-validation

#### Evaluate model predictions:

At each source element: Pearson correlation r(predicted response, measured response)

#### **Bias-correction:**

- Compute r of a temporally shuffled model
- Test for better *r* of the true model

#### Significance test:

- Mass-univariate t-test (Smith & Nichols, 2009)
  - Threshold-free cluster enhancement
  - Max statistic distribution with 10,000 permutations

## Temporal response function



# Encoding model





1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4

Ι

5

Time [seconds]

# Clean speech: neural localization



### Brain activity (MEG source estimate) predicted from acoustic envelope

 Maps of correlation (r) between actual and predicted neural time course

### Older > Younger

- Ventral to core auditory cortex
- No significant difference between hemispheres

# Temporal response function





Continuous MEG source estimates

I	I	I	I	Ι
1	2	3	4	5

Time [seconds]

# Temporal response function



# New results: influence of attention



### Listening to two speakers (Puvvada & Simon, 2017)

- Early responses track the acoustic signal (~50 ms)
- Later responses track the attended speaker (~100 ms)

#### ~30 ms

- Stimulus-driven
- Consistent with excitation-inhibition imbalance

#### ~120 ms

- Increased attentional modulation
- Consistent with increased taskrelated processing

#### ~180 - 250 ms

- Continued tracking of mix and attended speaker
- Responses practically absent in younger listeners

# Summary

#### Cortical over-representation of speech in older adults:

Multiple sources of over-representation

#### ~ 30 ms

- Bottom-up cortical gain
  - Main difference outside of core auditory cortex
- Strategic/top-down processing
  - Latency too short
- Low level physiological change; excitation/inhibition imbalance
  - Short latency
  - Fast spread to areas outside core auditory cortex

#### ~ 120 ms

- Bottom-up cortical gain
  - Does not track bottom-up information
- Strategic/top-down processing
  - Increase in task related activity (attention to speech)
- ? Low level change
  - Effect on task-related activity?

#### Later responses

- Bottom-up cortical gain
- Enhanced attentional tracking compatible with cognitive effort/compensation
- Persistent stimulus-driven as well as task-related activity

# Thank you!

### Coauthors

- Alessandro Presacco
- Stefanie Kuchinsky
- Samira Anderson
- Jonathan Z. Simon

### Funding: NIH

• Grant P01-AG055365