Neuronal correlates of pitch in the Inferior Colliculus

Didier A. Depireux David J. Klein Jonathan Z. Simon Shihab A. Shamma

Institute for Systems Research University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742-3311

Supported in part by the Office of Naval Research, the National Institute for Deafness and Communicative Disorders, and the National Science Foundation.

Methods

- Responses of single units in Inferior Colliculus (IC) and Primary Auditory Cortex (AI) in the barbiturate- or ketamine-anesthetized ferret were recorded with single tungsten electrodes. Data were collected from 13 ferrets, weighing 1.3 2.1 kg.
- Surgery and Preparation: The techniques involved are described in detail in Shamma et al. (1993). The ferrets were anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium and maintained in an areflexic state using a continuous IV infusion of pentobarbital or ketamine and xylazine, diluted with dextrose-electrolyte solution for metabolic stability. Data collection typically lasted 48-72 hours.
- □ Recording Procedures: Single-unit action potentials were recorded using glassinsulated tungsten microelectrodes with 5 to 6 MΩ impedance. The recorded signals were led through amplifiers and filters. Depending on the paradigm, a stimulus was presented every few seconds, and raster plots with time resolution of up to 0.1 ms were produced.
- □ IC was exposed by removal of (visual) cortex, and electrodes were lowered until ICC was reached, following standard criteria. Poorly defined best frequencies were very high at first, but went down very quickly as the electrode was lowered, corresponding to the ICX. When we reached the lowest Best Frequency (BF), corresponding to the top of the ICC, the responses changed qualitatively, and the BFs were better defined.

Auditory Pathway



The Inferior Colliculus







Why the IC?

- Midway up to CortexReports of IC maps and BMFsObserve good temporal responses

Theories of Pitch



Temporal

No need for resolved spectrum but **must** exist temporal properties of the response



Spectral Resolution & Ripples

Ripple Frequency (cyc/oct) 0.0 Ripple Amplitude ΔA 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 $\Delta A = 10 \text{ dB or } 90\%$ 1.4 1.6 Ripple phase Φ 0° 45° 90° 135° 180° 225° 270° 315° Ripple phase Amplitude $\Phi =$ 2π Ripple frequency Ω 0 Phase (radians) -5 $\Omega = 0.8$ cycles/octave 2 Δ 20 2 8 16 BF 4 -10 frequency (kHz) 0.4 0.8 1.2 1.6 0



1911C/07e06.r3



Tuning to ripples based solely on Best Ripple Frequency indicates that cells'response areas are too broad to resolve harmonics.

Spectral Resolution & Ripples II



The modulation of the response to stationary ripples as a function of ripple phase decreases sharply as the ripple frequency increases, unlike in cortex. *Modulation* indicates the ratio of the maximum to the minimum response to a ripple of a given ripple frequency.

AM Rate Transfer Functions



Langner and Schreiner, e.g., find that rate BMFs exhibit bandpass characteristics.

Langner and Schreiner (1988)

BMFs for AM Transfer Functions



AM Transfer Function Characteristics

Synchronization



We characterize the AM synchronization transfer function by its peak or Best Modulation Frequency (BMF), as and upper cut-off, i.e. the frequency at which the synchonization coefficient is 50% of the peak value.

We find that the majority of cells have a BMF around 100 Hz, but with a range of cut-off frequencies.

Temporal Response to Pure Tones

Spike Train Autocorrelation

Fourier Transform



Temporal Response to AMs

Spike Train Autocorrelation

Fourier Transform



Temporal Response to Click Trains

Spike Train Autocorrelation

Fourier Transform



Fast Temporal Response I



Fast Temporal Response II

Raster of responses to a click train. Note that clicks' phases are random from sweep to sweep

first four

100

Frequency (Hz) 215IC/08112.k2 300 263 500 700 ms 50 80 95 110 125 140 100 Hz 200 Hz Autocorrelation function for the frequencies **300 Hz** 400 Hz 25 time (ms) 20 30 50 15 35 40 45

Inharmonic Stimulus



196IC/04a06

References

- DeValois R. and DeValois K. (1988) *Spatial Vision*. New York: Oxford U. Press.
- □ Langner G. and Schreiner C.E. (1988) "Periodicity coding in the inferior colliculus of the cat. I. Neuronal Mechanisms," *J. Neurophysiol.* 60(6), pp. 1799-1822.
- Langner G. (1992) "Periodicity coding in the auditory system," *Hear. Res.* 60, pp. 115-142.
- Shamma S.A., Versnel H. and Kowalski N. (1995) "Ripple analysis in ferret primary auditory cortex I. Response characteristics of single units to sinusoidally rippled spectra," *Auditory Neuroscience* 1(3), pp. 233-254.
- Shamma S.A. and Versnel H. (1995) "Ripple analysis in ferret primary auditory cortex. II. Prediction of unit responses to arbitrary spectral profiles."*Auditory Neuroscience* 1(3), pp. 255-270.
- Versnel H., Kowalski N. and Shamma S.A. (1995) "Ripple analysis in ferret primary auditory cortex. III. Topographic distribution of ripple response parameters," *Auditory Neuroscience* 1(3), pp. 271-285.
- □ Schreiner C.E. and Calhoun B.M. (1995) "Spectral envelope coding in cat primary auditory cortex: properties of ripple transfer functions,"*Auditory Neuroscience* 1(1), 23 pages.
- Kowalski N., Depireux D.A. and Shamma S.A. (1996) "Analysis of dynamic spectra in ferret primary auditory cortex: I. Response characteristics of single units to moving rippled spectra," *J. Neurophysiol.* 76(5), pp. 3503-3523.
- Kowalski N., Depireux D.A. and Shamma S.A. (1996) "Analysis of dynamic spectra in ferret primary auditory cortex: II. Prediction of unit responses to arbitrary dynamic spectra," J. Neurophysiol. 76(5), pp. 3524-3534.