

Adaptation to Noise and Cortical Representation of Speech

Jonathan Z. Simon

Department of Biology

Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering

Institute for Systems Research


University of Maryland

Outline

- Cortical Representations of Speech
 - ▶ *Magnetoencephalography (MEG)*
 - ▶ *Encoding vs. Decoding*
- Cortical Representations of Speech in Stationary Noise
- Cortical Representations of Speech in “Cocktail Party” listening
- Cortical Representations of Internal Speech

Magnetoencephalography (MEG)

- Non-invasive, Passive, Silent Neural Recordings
- Simultaneous Whole-Head Recording (~200 sensors)
- Sensitivity
 - high: ~ 100 fT (10^{-13} Tesla)
 - low: $\sim 10^4 - \sim 10^6$ neurons
- Temporal Resolution: ~ 1 ms
- Spatial Resolution
 - coarse: ~ 1 cm
 - ambiguous



Neural Signals & MEG






Photo by Fritz Goro






- Direct electrophysiological measurement
 - not hemodynamic
 - real-time
- No unique solution for distributed source

- Measures spatially synchronized cortical activity
- Fine temporal resolution (~ 1 ms)
- Moderate spatial resolution (~ 1 cm)


Time Course of MEG Responses

Time Locked Auditory Responses


- MEG Response Patterns Time-Locked to Stimulus Events
- Robust
- Strongly Lateralized
- Cortical Sources Dominate




MEG Phase-Locked Responses to Slow Acoustic Modulations




MEG activity is phase-locked to temporal modulations of sound




MEG Responses to Speech Modulations




MEG Responses Predicted by STRF Model




Neural Reconstruction of Speech Envelope




Neural Reconstruction of Speech Envelope






Cortical Representation of Speech: Temporal




Outline

- Cortical Representations of Speech
 - ▶ *Magnetoencephalography (MEG)*
 - ▶ *Encoding vs. Decoding*
- **Cortical Representations of Speech in Stationary Noise**
- Cortical Representations of Speech in “Cocktail Party” listening
- Cortical Representations of Internal Speech

Speech in Stationary Noise




Speech in Stationary Noise




Speech in Noise: Results

Neural Reconstruction of
Underlying Speech Envelope





Speech in Noise: Results

Neural Reconstruction of
Underlying Speech Envelope





Speech in Noise: Results

Neural Reconstruction of
Underlying Speech Envelope




Speech in Noise: Results

Neural Reconstruction of Underlying Speech Envelope





Reconstruction Accuracy





Speech in Noise: Results

Neural Reconstruction of Underlying Speech Envelope






Reconstruction Accuracy




Speech in Noise: Results


Neural Reconstruction of Underlying Speech Envelope



Reconstruction Accuracy




Correlation with Intelligibility






across Subjects

Noise-Vocoded Speech



Noise-Vocoded Speech: Results



Cortical Speech Representations

- Neural representation as seen via decoding
- Speech **envelope** only (as seen in MEG)
- Envelope Rates: $\sim 1 - 10$ Hz
- Intelligibility linked to lower range of frequencies (Delta)

Outline

- Cortical Representations of Speech
 - ▶ *Magnetoencephalography (MEG)*
 - ▶ *Encoding vs. Decoding*
- Cortical Representations of Speech in Stationary Noise
- Cortical Representations of Speech in “Cocktail Party” listening
- Cortical Representations of Internal Speech

Listening to Speech at the Cocktail Party



Listening to Speech at the Cocktail Party




Listening to Speech at the Cocktail Party



Listening to Speech at the Cocktail Party




Experiment




speech

competing speech


Selective Neural Encoding




Selective Neural Encoding



Unselective vs. Selective Neural Encoding




Selective Neural Encoding



Stream-Specific Representation


attending to
speaker 1

attending to
speaker 2




Identical Stimuli!

Single Trial Speech Reconstruction




Single Trial Speech Reconstruction


Attended Speech Reconstruction



Background Speech Reconstruction




Overall Speech Reconstruction




Distinct neural representations for different speech streams

attended speech ■ background ■


Forward STRF Model



Forward STRF Model




STRF Results




- STRF separable (time, frequency)
- 300 Hz - 2 kHz dominant carriers
- M50_{STRF} positive peak
- M100_{STRF} negative peak


STRF Results




- STRF separable (time, frequency)
- 300 Hz - 2 kHz dominant carriers
- M50_{STRF} positive peak
- M100_{STRF} negative peak



STRF Results




- STRF separable (time, frequency)
- 300 Hz - 2 kHz dominant carriers
- M50_{STRF} positive peak
- M100_{STRF} negative peak
- **M100_{STRF} strongly modulated by attention, *but not* M50_{STRF}**



Neural Sources

- M100_{STRF} source near (same as?) M100 source: Planum Temporale
- M50_{STRF} source is anterior and medial to M100 (same as M50?): Heschl's Gyrus



- **Planum Temporale source strongly modulated by attention, *but not Heschl's Gyrus source***

Outline



- Cortical Representations of Speech
 - ▶ *Magnetoencephalography (MEG)*
 - ▶ *Encoding vs. Decoding*
- Cortical Representations of Speech in Stationary Noise
- Cortical Representations of Speech in “Cocktail Party” listening
- Cortical Representations of Internal Speech

Missing Speech Restoration

- Can sustained, strongly non-stationary, speech be “restored”?
 - ▶ Might be aided by contextual knowledge/familiarity
 - ▶ Might be aided by strong rhythmicity



Missing Speech Restoration

- Can sustained, strongly non-stationary, speech be “restored”?
 - ▶ Might be aided by contextual knowledge/familiarity
 - ▶ Might be aided by strong rhythmicity



Missing Speech Restoration

- Can sustained, strongly non-stationary, speech be “restored”?
 - ▶ Might be aided by contextual knowledge/familiarity
 - ▶ Might be aided by strong rhythmicity



Missing Speech: Context

Twas the night before Christmas, when all through the house
not a creature was stirring, not even a mouse.
The stockings were hung by the chimney with care,
in hopes that St. Nicholas soon would be there.

The children were nestled all snug in their beds,
while visions of sugar plums danced in their heads.
And Mama in her 'kerchief, and I in my cap,
had just settled our brains for a long winter's nap.

When out on the lawn there arose such a clatter,
I sprang from my bed to see what was the matter.
Away to the window I flew like a flash,
tore open the shutter, and threw up the sash.

The moon on the breast of the new-fallen snow
gave the lustre of midday to objects below,
when, what to my wondering eyes should appear,
but a miniature sleigh and eight tiny reindeer.

With a little old driver, so lively and quick,
I knew in a moment it must be St. Nick.
More rapid than eagles, his coursers they came,
and he whistled and shouted and called them by name.

"Now Dasher! Now Dancer! Now, Prancer and Vixen!
On, Comet! On, Cupid! On, Donner and Blitzen!
To the top of the porch! To the top of the wall!
Now dash away! Dash away! Dash away all!"

As dry leaves that before the wild hurricane fly,
when they meet with an obstacle, mount to the sky
so up to the house-top the coursers they flew,
with the sleigh full of toys, and St. Nicholas too.

And then, in a twinkling, I heard on the roof
the prancing and pawing of each little hoof.
As I drew in my head and was turning around,
down the chimney St. Nicholas came with a bound.

He was dressed all in fur, from his head to his foot,
and his clothes were all tarnished with ashes and soot.
A bundle of toys he had flung on his back,
and he looked like a peddler just opening his pack.


His eyes--how they twinkled! His dimples, how merry!
His cheeks were like roses, his nose like a cherry!
His droll little mouth was drawn up like a bow,
and the beard on his chin was as white as the snow.

The stump of a pipe he held tight in his teeth,
and the smoke it encircled his head like a wreath.
He had a broad face and a little round belly,
that shook when he laughed, like a bowl full of jelly.

He was chubby and plump, a right jolly old elf,
and I laughed when I saw him, in spite of myself.
A wink of his eye and a twist of his head
soon gave me to know I had nothing to dread.

He spoke not a word, but went straight to his work,
and filled all the stockings, then turned with a jerk.
And laying his finger aside of his nose,
and giving a nod, up the chimney he rose.

He sprang to his sleigh, to his team gave a whistle,
And away they all flew like the down of a thistle.
But I heard him exclaim, 'ere he drove out of sight,
"Happy Christmas to all, and to all a good night!"




- Hypothesis: contextual knowledge of missing speech can be controlled by exposure to the speech


Missing Speech “Reconstruction”

- Can ***missing*** speech be “reconstructed”?
- Does reconstruction of missing speech depend on prior experience with the missing speech?

Missing Speech “Reconstruction”



Missing Speech “Reconstruction”



- Decoding of the ***missing*** speech improves with prior experience
- Performance is a considerable fraction of that for clean speech

Summary

- Cortical representations of speech
 - representation of envelope (up to ~ 10 Hz)
 - robust against a variety of noise types
- Object-based cortical representation
 - at 100 ms latency (PT), but not by 50 ms (HG)
- Even missing/internal speech can be “reconstructed”

Thank You

Acknowledgements

Current Lab Members & Affiliates

Ross Baehr

Christian Brodbeck

Alex Jiao

Joshua Kulasingham

Sina Miran

David Nahmias

Peng Zan

Natalia Lapinskaya

Huan Luo

Mahshid Najafi

Alex Presacco

Krishna Puvvada

Jonas Vanthornhout

Ben Walsh

Yadong Wang

Juanjuan Xiang

Jiachen Zhuo

Mounya Elhilali

Tom Francart

Jonathan Fritz

Michael Fu

Stefanie Kuchinsky

Steven Marcus

Cindy Moss

David Poeppel

Shihab Shamma

Past Lab Members & Affiliates

Nayef Ahmar

Sahar Akram

Murat Aytekin

Francisco Cervantes Constantino

Maria Chait

Marisel Villafane Delgado

Kim Drnec

Nai Ding

Victor Grau-Serrat

Julian Jenkins

Pirazh Khorramshahi

Collaborators

Pamela Abshire

Samira Anderson

Behtash Babadi

Catherine Carr

Monita Chatterjee

Alain de Cheveigné

Stephen David

Didier Depireux

Past Undergraduate Students

Nicholas Asendorf

Anurupa Bhonsale

Sonja Bohr

Elizabeth Camenga

Julien Dagenais

Katya Dombrowski

Kevin Hogan

Andrea Shome

James Williams

Funding NIH (*NIDCD, NIA, NIBIB*); *NSF*; *DARPA*; USDA; *UMD*